

From: Beaton, Kevin J. [<mailto:KJBEATON@stoel.com>]
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 11:16 AM
To: Mednick, Richard
Subject: Re: Avery UAO

Richard. Yes the e-mail satisfied the list of issues/questions we had related to implementing the Work. We of course are not admitting to any of the jurisdictional or statement of facts in the UAO. We will be timely sending Potlatch's notice of intent to comply with the UAO

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 22, 2013, at 12:10 PM, "Mednick, Richard"
<Mednick.Richard@epa.gov<<mailto:Mednick.Richard@epa.gov>>> wrote:

Hi Kevin -

I have not seen anything submitted in writing by the Potlatch companies subsequent to our 4/12/13 telephone conference call. So I am wondering whether the list of discussion items that you provided in your 4/11/13 electronic mail message to me is meant to function as the written submission referenced in Paragraph C of Section XVII of the Unilateral Administrative Order? Please let me know. Also, please keep in mind the upcoming notice that needs to be provided to Earl Liverman as per Section XIX of the Order.

Thank you,

Richard

Richard Mednick
Associate Regional Counsel
US EPA Region 10
(206) 553-1797